



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/993,467   | 11/19/2001  | Richard P Welle      | 7413-1006           | 8343             |
| 23600  | 7590        | 01/04/2006           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| COUDERT BROTHERS LLP<br>333 SOUTH HOPE STREET<br>23RD FLOOR<br>LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 |             |                      | COLE, MONIQUE T     |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 1743                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |                                      |   |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>09/993,467 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>WELLE, RICHARD P |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Monique T. Cole   | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1743                 |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Monique T. Cole.

(2) Jon Hokanson.

**Date of Interview:** 13 September 2005

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** after-final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 3:10 p.m.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
*of record*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*The Examiner informed Mr. Hokanson of her plans to reopen prosecution in the instant application*


  

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**MONIQUE T. COLE**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

15

2